Resolution No: 16-487

Introduced:

December 11, 2007

Adopted:

March 18, 2008

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President at the Request of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

SUBJECT:

Special Appropriation to the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission's (M-NCPPC) FY07 Capital Budget and Amendment to the FY07-12 Capital Improvements Program for Silver Place/MRO Mixed Use Project, PDF #048701-\$1,386,000 (Source: Certificates of Participation)

Background

- 1. Article 28, Section 2-118(a)(6) of the Maryland Code permits the County Council to amend the budget of the M-NCPPC by resolution on the Council's initiative, or at the request of the Commission, after receipt of a recommendation from the County Executive, and after public hearing upon reasonable notice to the public.
- 2. Section 308 of the Montgomery County Charter provides that a special appropriation: (a) may be made at any time after public notice by news release; (b) must state that the special appropriation is necessary to meet an unforeseen disaster or other emergency or to act without delay in the public interest; (c) must specify the revenues necessary to finance it; and (d) must be approved by six members of the Council.
- 3. M-NCPPC requests a Special Appropriation to the FY07 Capital Budget and Amendment to the FY07-12 Capital Improvements Program.

Project Name	Project No.	Cost Element	Amount	Source of Funds		
SilverPlace/MRO	048701	Planning, Design	\$1,386,000	Certificates of		
Mixed Use Project		and Supervision		Participation		

- 4. A recommendation was submitted by the County Executive.
- 5. The public was notified by a news release, and a public hearing was held.

Resolution No.: 16-487

Action

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following actions:

-2-

1. A Special Appropriation to the FY07 Capital Budget and Amendment to the FY07-12 Capital Improvements Program of the M-NCPPC to fund community charettes and related design work.

Project Name	Project No.	Cost Element	Amount	Source of Funds
SilverPlace/MRO	048701	Planning, Design	\$1,386,000	Certificates of
Mixed Use Project		and Supervision		Participation

2. The County Council declares that this action is necessary to act without delay in the public interest.

This is a correct copy of Council action.

Linda M. Lauer, Clerk of the Council

SilverPlace/MRO Headquarters Mixed-Use Project -- No. 048701

Category Agency Planning Area Relocation Impact M-NCPPC M-NCPPC Silver Spring None.

Date Last Modified Previous PDF Page Number Required Adequate Public Facility March 6, 2008 NONE NO

EVERNITTIBE SCHEDULE (\$000)

		Thru	Est.	Total		EDULE (\$0	- 1		FY11	FY12	Beyond 6 Years
Cost Element	Total	FY05	FY06	6 Years	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0 10213
Planning, Design and Supervision	2,235	110	131	1,995	258	1,567	172	0	0	0.	0
Land						-					
Site Improvements		ار	n	O	0	. 0	0	0	0		0
and Utilities	<u> </u>	<u>U</u>		0	0	0	0	0	01	<u> </u>	
Construction		<u>n</u>	-	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Other	2,236	110	131	1,995	. 258		172	0	0]	<u></u>	J
Total	2,250	L	L	FUNDIN	IG SCHED	ULE (\$000)					
Certificates of Participation	1,986	0	0	1,986	247	1,557	172	0	0		
Current Revenue: Park and Planning	250		131	g	9	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>
Park and Flagsing		1, —	ANNU	AL OPERA	TING BUI	GET IMPA	CT (\$000)		or a contract	-	

SilverPlace is a public/private partnership through which M-NCPPC seeks to replace its outdated and overcrowded headquarters building while facilitating several outernace is a publicipality and partnership unlough which m-NOTTO seeks to replace its dudlated and overcrowded neadquarters building while tacilitating several public policy objectives including provision of affordable housing, smart growth, and green building. SilverPlace is a mixed-use development of the Montgomery Regional Office (MRO) site in Silver Spring. The project will include public improvements consisting of consolidated headquarters facility, public open space, and related infrastructure. The project will include private improvements with a minimum of thirty percent affordable residential housing units and open space. Private improvements may also include market rate residential units and retail space.

In 2003, the Comission prepared the "Consolidated Headquarters Study". This study justified the need for the new headquarters, determined that a minimum of 30% affordable/workforce housing would be required, and determined that a public/private partnership allowing mixed-use development of the MRO site was the optimal method to meet the project objectives.

The Montgomery Council approved the Silver Spring Central Business District and Vicinity Sector Plan in February 2000 and the M-NCPPC adopted it in

Housing Montgomery: Housing the People Who Make Montgomery County Work, approved by the Planning Board and County Council in 2003.

Consolidated Headquariers Study, 2003. Analyses of MRO HVAC, Electrical Systems, 2001. A review of impacts to pedestrians, blcycles and ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act 1991) will be performed and addressed by this project. Traffic signals, A review of impacts to pedestrians, blcycles and ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act 1991) will be performed and addressed by this project. Traffic signals, a review of impacts to pedestrians, blcycles and ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act 1991) will be performed and addressed by this project. Traffic signals, a review of impacts to pedestrians, blcycles and ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act 1991) will be performed and addressed by this project. Traffic signals, a review of impacts to pedestrians, blcycles and ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act 1991) will be performed and addressed by this project. Traffic signals, a review of impacts to pedestrians, blcycles and ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act 1991) will be performed and addressed by this project.

Accomplishments to date include qualification of three development teams to submit responses to a Request for Proposals (RFP), issuing an RFP, ranking of one of the development teams first among the three to submit proposals, entering into a Memorandum of Understanding with that development team, preliminary negotiations of the first of three subsequent "definitive" agreements with that team, completion of a draft detailed program of requirements, formulation of a charrette negonators of the first of three subsequent detinuve agreements with that team, completion of a draft detailed program or requirements, formulation of a chartest process to obtain stakeholder input to and concurrence with a development plan, and agreement in principle with the Silver Spring Parking District to acquire additional property that will enable the Commission to realize its public policy objectives in a more comprehensive manner. The next phase will take the project through the completion of the charteste process including the preparation of a detailed development plan and cost estimates of sufficient reliability to seek funding to complete the design and construction of the project.

The debt associated with this project will be recorded in an Internal Service Fund (ISF) account for the new building (MRO Building ISF). The Administration Fund and the Park Fund will budget ISF service charges in amounts sufficient to cover the debt service on the building. The allocation to the Administration Fund and the Park Fund will budget ISF service charges in amounts sufficient to cover the debt service on the building. The allocation to the Administration Fund and the Park Fund will be based on the space to be utilized by the planning and parks functions. This process assures that each fund is paying a reasonable portion of the

The funding history to date includes a Special appropriation approved in FY04 for \$250,000 and additional \$600,000 in FY05 to take the project to the status as described above. The FY08 supplemental appropriation of \$1,386,000 provides funds sufficient to perform due diligence work to prepare for a charrette, conduct a

The second secon		MAP
APPROPRIATION AND	COORDINATION	lisocs
EXPENDITURE DATA		
Cate First Appropriation FY05 (\$0)		
Initial Cost Estimate 1,6	92	
First Cost Estimate		
	92)	
	38	
TESSIA OUR ESTATEMENT		
Appropriation Request FY07		
7-7-7-1		
Supplemental Appropriation Request FY07 0 \$ 1.	386	
Transfer	0	
Cumulative Appropriation	850	
Expanditures		t e
Engumbrances	126 724	•
Unencumbered Balance	124	
Partlat Classout Thru FY04	0)	1
New Partial Closeout FYC5	D	
Total Partial Closeout	0	
	The state of the s	

charrette, obtain concurrence with the design concept developed in the charrette, and produce a development plan in sufficient detail to obtain construction cost estimates. The Council authorizes the advance of Commission funds not to exceed \$1.386 million for the expenses noted above which may be incurred before the sale of certificates of participation (COPs) or other debt instrument for this project. These advances are to be repaid out of the proceeds of the COPs or other debt instrument for the balance of design and construction is from \$60 to \$80 million.